United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Anthony Arturo Bravo			Case Number: 1:06-mj-00630
facts re	In a equire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this cas	$\mathfrak{L}(f)$, a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following se.
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described	fe imprisonment or death.
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable some of the offense described in finding (1) was committed vor local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed sind imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable p	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state ce the date of conviction release of the defendant from
	Alternate Findings (A) (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
X		Alternate In There is a serious risk that the defendant will not apport There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
		Part II – Written Statemer	nt of Reasons for Detention
	I fin	d that the credible testimony and information submitte	ed at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that
		dant waived his detention hearing, electing not to cont	
3. \	Nith tl	is an open arrest warrant for defendant, so he would restipulation of the government and the consent of the of detention should defendant be able to clear the arr	e court, defendant preserves the right to request that the court reoper
appeal. the Uni	ions f . The ited S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorne acility separate, to the extent practicable, from person defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity	Regarding Detention y General or his designated representative for confinement in a s awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court or the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the earance in connection with a court proceeding.
March 24, 2006 /s/			Ellen S. Carmody
			nature of Judge
		EII	len S. Carmody. United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judge